United Nations A/61/86

General Assembly

Distr.: General
25 May 2006
English
Original: Spanish

Sixty-first session
Item 54 (c) of the preliminary list*
Globalization and interdependence: culture and development

Letter dated 18 May 2006 from the Permanent Representative of Paraguay to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

On behalf of the Government of the Republic of Paraguay, host of the South American Conference on Migration held on 4 and 5 May 2006, I have the honour to transmit to you the Asunción Declaration, which was adopted on 5 May 2006 in Asunción, Paraguay (see annex).

I should be grateful if you would have the Declaration circulated in all the official languages of the United Nations as a contribution by the sixth South American Conference on Migration to the high-level dialogue to be conducted during the sixty-first session of the General Assembly next September.

(*Signed*) Eladio **Loizaga**
Ambassador
Permanent Representative

* A/61/50 and Corr.1.

06-36309 (E) 010606 020606

Annex to the letter dated 18 May 2006 from the Permanent Representative of Paraguay to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General

[Original: Spanish]

Asunción Declaration

We, the representatives of the Argentine Republic, the Republic of Bolivia, the Federative Republic of Brazil, the Republic of Chile, the Republic of Colombia, the Republic of Ecuador, the Republic of Paraguay, the Republic of Peru, the Republic of Suriname, the Eastern Republic of Uruguay and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, having met together at the sixth South American Conference on Migration:

Reaffirming our commitment to comply fully with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man; the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights; the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights; the American Convention on Human Rights; the Inter-American Convention to Prevent, Punish and Eradicate Violence against Women (Belém do Pará Convention); the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development (Cairo, 1994); as well as other international instruments on human rights ratified by our States;

Emphasizing the importance of the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families and the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, as well as its two Protocols on the Smuggling of Migrants and Trafficking in Persons;

Underscoring the resolutions adopted by our States within the framework of the United Nations[1] and the Organization of American States[2] and Advisory Opinions OC-16/99 and OC-18/03 of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights;

Taking into account the commitments made at the fourth Summit of the Americas and the fifteenth Ibero-American Summit of Heads of State and Government;

Bearing in mind the Santiago Declaration on migration principles (16 May 2004); the Montevideo Declaration against trafficking in persons in the Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR) and associated States (16 November 2004); the Asunción Declaration on trafficking in persons and smuggling of migrants (8 June 2001); the Agreement on residency for the nationals of the States members of MERCOSUR and the associated States Bolivia and Chile (6 December 2002); the Agreement concerning the smuggling of migrants among the States members of MERCOSUR and the associated States Bolivia and Chile (6 June 2003); the Asunción Protocol on the commitment of MERCOSUR to the promotion and protection of human rights (20 June 2005) and other documents regarding this issue and adopted in the context of MERCOSUR, as well as the Andean Charter for the

[1] General Assembly resolutions 60/206, 60/169, 59/194 and 40/144, among others.

[2] Resolutions AG/RES. 2130 (XXXV-O/05); AG/RES. 2141 (XXXV-O/05); AG/RES. 2027 (XXXIV-O/04); AG/RES. 1928 (XXXIII-O/03); AG/RES. 1898 (XXXII-O/02); AG/RES. 1775 (XXXI-O/01); and AG/RES. 1717 (XXX-O/00), among others.

Promotion and Protection of Human Rights and other advances made in many decisions of the Andean Community on migration matters;

Considering the convergence of interests and aspirations of the Presidents of the States members of the South American Community of Nations as shown by the Ayacucho, Cusco and Brasilia Declarations;

Recalling the South American Meeting on Migration, Integration and Development, the previous South American Conferences on Migration and the importance of the consensus reached at the successive Conferences;

Bearing in mind that the Millennium Declaration expresses a renewed commitment to take measures to ensure respect for and protection of the human rights of migrants, migrant workers and their families; to eliminate the increasing acts of racism and xenophobia in many societies; and to promote greater harmony and tolerance in all societies;

Reaffirming the commitments that States have made to provide and promote international protection for refugees, asylum-seekers and displaced persons, as stipulated in the 1951 Geneva Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and the 1967 Protocol thereto, and other international instruments on the matter;

Considering that the South American region comprises countries of origin, transit, and/or destination for migrants;

Considering, above all, that South American countries attach great value to the waves of immigration to the region, which have made invaluable cultural, economic, and social contributions to the building of our countries;

And considering that, since our region is now also a source of migration, the situation of our nationals in third countries is a cause for concern, and hence we are requesting that they should be accorded treatment equal to that received by nationals of these countries in our territories;

And considering that, while the right of every person to emigrate is recognized, it is the duty of our Governments and societies to generate conditions that would avoid the emigration of our nationals, who represent human capital indispensable for the future of our economic and social development;

And considering that, as a result of the foregoing, there have been significant developments in the regional context of MERCOSUR, the Andean Community of Nations and the South American Community of Nations, as well as the adoption of unilateral measures by some States in such important aspects as:

– Facilitated procedures for granting residency;

– Regularization of the status of migrants;

– Freedom of movement;

– Efforts to combat smuggling of migrants and trafficking in persons;

– Documentation of migrants;

– Equal treatment of nationals and aliens;

– Respect for the cultural diversity of migrants;

– Mechanisms for coordinating migration management between countries of origin and destination within the region;

– Harmonization of rules on matters of migration;

– Protection of migrants against a variety of discriminatory practices and forms of labour exploitation;

– Rejection of the criminalization of the status of migrants in an irregular situation;

– Bilateral cooperation for the orderly management of migratory flows and other types of multilateral cooperation on migration;

– Recognition of civil, economic, social and cultural rights;

And considering that, on the basis of that experience, the South American countries urge the international community to work towards migration policies that are grounded on the ethical consideration of respect for human rights and directed towards the integral development of individuals and their societies;

Recognizing the desire of our countries to present a common position at the high-level dialogue on international migration and development to be held in New York on 14 and 15 September 2006 during the next session of the United Nations General Assembly and at other forums:

Declare

That we reaffirm the following basic goals on migration matters:

1. To ensure full respect for the human rights of migrants, especially migrant women and unaccompanied minors, regardless of their immigration status, within the legal framework of each country and in accordance with universal principles and international and regional instruments for the protection of persons, in particular by:

(a) Encouraging all the countries of the region and of other regions of the world to consider signing, ratifying and/or acceding to the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families and the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime along with its two Protocols on Smuggling of Migrants and Trafficking in Persons, laying a special emphasis on the protection of women and children who emigrate as family members against violation or denial of their human rights, in acknowledgement of the vital importance of family reunification;

(b) Urging the Governments of receiving countries to make every effort to regularize the status of migrants in an irregular situation;

(c) Working to achieve recognition by both countries of origin and countries of destination of the rights of migrants to a broader citizenship (the right to vote when national legislation so allows), in both their countries of origin and countries of destination.

2. To include, as a matter of priority, the topic of migration and development in the agendas of bilateral, regional and international meetings, with particular emphasis on the following:

(a) Poverty and a lack of opportunities, as well as income gaps and discrepancies in living conditions among regions, as some of the fundamental causes of migration;

(b) The need to mainstream migration issues into other international agendas relating to issues affecting the causes of population movements, such as international trade, human development, the environment, the use of technologies, international cooperation for co-development, health and employment;

(c) Strengthening cooperation between receiving countries and the countries of origin of migrants in order to enhance the legality and management of migratory flows.

3. To promote coordinated and consensus-based efforts among States in order to achieve good migration governance by:

(a) Reaffirming the valuable contribution made by migrants in host countries and the need to foster programmes in those countries to facilitate the integration of migrants, while respecting cultural identities and preventing discrimination, xenophobia and racism;

(b) Emphatically rejecting efforts to criminalize irregular immigration status and to treat it as an act punishable by criminal law;

(c) Strengthening ties between emigrants and their countries of origin, in order to promote the transfer of skills and investment opportunities and thereby contribute to the development of their communities, the preservation of their culture of origin and the organization of migrant communities in host societies;

(d) Formulating and implementing special programmes to facilitate the voluntary and assisted return of nationals abroad;

(e) Facilitating and promoting a reduction in the costs of remittance transfers, by acknowledging that they are private flows of funds towards countries of origin;

(f) Recognizing that some of the returns on public investment in education by developing countries are transferred to countries of destination and ensuring that the flow of highly skilled emigrants, in particular, is taken into account in the relevant areas of international negotiation and cooperation, irrespective of any official development assistance;

(g) Promoting measures to facilitate family reunification;

(h) Scaling up efforts to combat, on the basis of the principle of shared responsibility and giving particular consideration to the vulnerability of victims, smuggling of migrants, trafficking in persons, trafficking in children and related transnational crimes, in accordance with international instruments and within the framework of regional cooperation, and defining such acts as crimes under the respective national legislations so that they may be combated effectively;

(i) Inviting civil society representatives to contribute towards the formulation, implementation and monitoring of policies and programmes relating to migration;

(j) Strengthening dialogue and concerted political action in all existing regional forums and establishing, to that end, annual meetings of the South American Conference on Migration;

(k) Reiterating the need to intensify the existing coordination and cooperation among international bodies dealing with the issue of migration.

4. We, the participating countries, entrust the Presidency *pro tempore* of the Republic of Paraguay with the task of transmitting this Declaration to the President of the United Nations General Assembly as South America's contribution to the high-level dialogue; to the Ibero-American Secretariat as input for the Ibero-American Meeting on Migration to be held in Madrid, Spain, on 18 and 19 July; and to the Special International Ministerial-level Conference of Developing Countries with Substantial Migrant Flows, which will take place in Lima, Peru, on 15 and 16 May.

5. We call on the various international organizations to assist the countries of the region to fulfil their commitments.

6. We thank the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela for offering to host the seventh South American Conference on Migration, which will take place in 2007. The exact date and time will be confirmed by the Presidency *pro tempore*.

7. We draw attention to and express our gratitude for the valuable, ongoing and vital cooperation of the International Organization for Migration and, recognizing the Organization's role as an agency of great influence in matters relating to migration, requests it to continue to serve as technical secretariat of the Conference.

8. We stress the continued need for the South American Conference on Migration as a valuable forum for cooperation and dialogue between Governments and civil society, and we highlight the important contributions made by observers and representatives of international organizations.

9. We draw attention to the extremely effective work of the Presidency *pro tempore* and express our gratitude to the Government and people of Paraguay for the excellent organization of the sixth South American Conference and for the warm hospitality extended to participants.

10. This Declaration consists of a comprehensive set of commitments, which complement and reinforce those already made by the South American Conference on Migration.

Asunción, 5 May 2006

Heads of delegation signing the Declaration

(*Signed*) Ambassador Félix **Córdova Moyano**
Director General of Consular Affairs of the Ministry of
Foreign Affairs, International Trade and Worship
Argentina

(*Signed*) Ambassador Mauricio **Dorfler Ocampo**
Deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs
Bolivia

(*Signed*) Luis Paulo **Teles Ferreira**
Executive Secretary of the Ministry of Justice
Brazil

(*Signed*) Ambassador Alberto **Van Klaveren Store**
Deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs
Chile

(*Signed*) Nancy **Benítez**
Director of Consular Affairs and Colombian Communities Abroad
Colombia

(*Signed*) Ambassador Ximena **Martínez de Pérez**
Under-Secretary for Migratory and Consular Affairs
Ecuador

(*Signed*) Ambassador Mario **Sandoval**
Director General of Multilateral Policy of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Paraguay

(*Signed*) General (R) Diómedes Hernánde **Díaz Horna**
Peru

(*Signed*) Nel **Stadwijk-Kappel**
Political Adviser
Suriname

(*Signed*) Ambassador Alvaro **Portillo Rodriguez**
Uruguay

(*Signed*) Alexis **Benavides**
Director of Migration and Border Zones, representing the
Ministry of the Interior and Justice
Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

United Nations A/61/87–E/2006/77

General Assembly
Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General
26 May 2006

Original: English

General Assembly
Sixty-first session
Item 67 (a) of the preliminary list*
Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian and disaster relief assistance of the United Nations, including special economic assistance: strengthening of the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations

Economic and Social Council
Substantive session of 2006
Geneva, 3-28 July 2006
Item 5 of the provisional agenda**
Special economic, humanitarian and disaster relief assistance

Strengthening emergency relief, rehabilitation, reconstruction, recovery and prevention in the aftermath of the Indian Ocean tsunami disaster

Report of the Secretary-General***

Summary

The present report has been prepared in response to General Assembly resolution 60/15 of 14 November 2005 entitled "Strengthening emergency relief, rehabilitation, reconstruction and prevention in the aftermath of the Indian Ocean tsunami disaster". The report provides a status update of the recovery process at the 18-month mark and seeks to identify some of the key emerging challenges and lessons learned in efforts to build back better. It examines successes and challenges in tsunami response, focusing on long-term recovery. Specific themes discussed include coordination, models of government recovery institutions, assessments of damages and needs, transparency and accountability, community participation in recovery, economic diversification, risk reduction, human rights, and environmental issues. Each theme includes recommendations from the Secretary-General to both the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly for further discussion.

* A/61/50 and Corr.1.

** E/2006/100.

*** This document was submitted late due to the need to ensure the updating of data (through 15 May 2006) and for additional technical and substantive consultations.

06-36543 (E) 140606

I. Introduction

1. The present report was prepared pursuant to General Assembly resolution 46/182 of 19 December 1991, in which the Secretary-General was requested to report to the General Assembly and the Economic and Social Council on the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance. The report is also submitted pursuant to General Assembly resolution 60/15 of 14 November 2005, entitled "Strengthening emergency relief, rehabilitation, reconstruction and prevention in the aftermath of the Indian Ocean tsunami disaster", in which the Secretary-General was requested to report on its implementation to the General Assembly at its sixty-first session and to the Economic and Social Council at its substantive session in 2006.

II. The tsunami and its impact

2. On 26 December 2004, an earthquake off the western coast of northern Sumatra, measuring 9.0 on the Richter scale, triggered an intensely destructive tsunami, which affected 12 countries, taking 186,983 lives. An additional 42,883 persons are still listed as missing. Hundreds of thousands of people were displaced, and over 3 million persons were affected, including 1.4 million who lost their sources of livelihood. The tsunami had the greatest impact on rural coastal communities, many of which were already poor, vulnerable and had few livelihood options. The hardest hit countries were India, Indonesia, Maldives, Sri Lanka and Thailand. Malaysia, Myanmar, Seychelles, Somalia and the United Republic of Tanzania were also affected.

3. The assistance provided by the emergency relief effort — which rapidly reached over 2 million people — included preventive health measures, temporary shelter, and emergency food assistance. Relief agencies also built temporary schools and health facilities. While the relief effort faced serious challenges, it was regarded as generally successful.

4. Multi-agency assessment teams originally calculated that approximately 10 billion United States dollars ($) would be needed for long-term recovery. That figure has recently been revised to approximately $11 billion. Official and private pledges for recovery reached just over $12 billion. Work on long-term reconstruction is ongoing, with permanent schools, highways, harbours and homes under construction, and livelihood restoration programmes and support to communities in social services under way.

5. Across the affected region, construction of permanent housing is the priority, with challenges raised by both the need to build 412,000 homes and to procure land on which to build. Most of the affected countries face common challenges, which include insecure land tenure, increasing costs of building materials, the need for community consultation in housing construction, and lack of infrastructure at the new housing sites. Livelihood programmes during the early recovery phase have focused on replacing assets, notably in the fisheries sector — but the disaster's effects on employment can still be felt across the region.

06-36548

India

6. The tsunami affected more than 1,400 miles of India's southern coastline. Across some 1,089 villages, the death toll was 12,405, and close to 2 million people were directly or indirectly affected. Total needs were assessed at $2.1 billion.

7. With a total of 169,753 houses to be built, constructing shelter remains a top priority. An estimated 7,956 houses have been built, and special efforts have been made to develop local capacities for disaster risk reduction. Local masons have been trained in multi-hazard resistant technologies, and homeowners are required to purchase insurance and encouraged to follow disaster resistance guidelines.

8. The tsunami damaged and destroyed 360 primary and secondary schools. In addition to reconstructing buildings, the Government is seeking to enhance the quality of education. It has introduced Quality Education Packages, which propose strategies to improve instruction in 251 schools, benefiting 70,000 children.

9. Livelihood recovery efforts have focused on re-establishing prior economic activities and developing alternate livelihoods. Beneficiaries are receiving vocational training and small enterprise support. Overfishing was a problem before the tsunami, and post-tsunami measures include improved fisheries management, diversification of economic activities and access to credit.

Indonesia

10. Located just 100 miles from the earthquake's epicentre, Aceh was severely impacted. Physical destruction was immense, with reconstruction needs estimated at $4.5 billion. An earthquake on Nias island on 28 March 2005 and an increase in prices of some goods by as much as 40 per cent in Aceh, have pushed this figure to $6.1 billion. More than 130,000 people were killed in both disasters, with as many as 37,000 persons still listed as missing. The livelihoods of at least 500,000 people were affected by both disasters, and Aceh's gross domestic product (GDP) growth rate was reduced by 5 per cent and that of Nias by 20 per cent.

11. Approximately 40,000 people are still in tents in Aceh and Nias, but construction of temporary shelter is progressing. As of May 2006, some 8,000 shelter frames had been erected. About a quarter of these have been completed with timber flooring and siding and additional timber deliveries are ongoing. A total of 133,500 new permanent houses are required, and construction is progressing; the Government estimates that some 40,000 houses had been completed or were under construction as of early May 2006. While this represents significant progress, land allocation, tenure/ownership issues, and policies for people who did not own their land or houses require further clarification. In addition, many of the recently constructed houses lack essential infrastructure such as water and electricity. The need to import significant quantities of timber from sustainable sources has also been a challenge.

12. Of the 2,000 school buildings that were destroyed or damaged, 524 have been rebuilt or are under construction. In addition, over 2,400 teachers have been trained or are receiving training. In the health sector, 8 hospitals and 114 health facilities were damaged or destroyed. All of the hospitals have been rehabilitated, and 51 health facilities and an additional 13 hospitals are under construction.

13. As many as 100,000 small businesses were lost, 60,000 farmers were displaced, 60,000 hectares of farmland were destroyed and 50,000 fishermen and tambak farmers were affected. A significant proportion of tsunami-affected people have returned to work, although it is unlikely that their income has reached pre-tsunami levels. Economic recovery has been fuelled by the construction boom and increasing empowerment of small and medium enterprises. Ongoing challenges include ensuring broad access to the economic benefits of the construction boom, delivering effective assistance to vulnerable populations, managing natural resources in a sustainable manner and promoting access to an effective credit market.

14. The Indonesian Society for Disaster Management is undertaking public awareness campaigns and capacity-building at district and provincial levels aimed at developing a disaster management bill. The bill aims to strengthen capacities for disaster management, preparedness, and response at relevant national and provincial government agencies.

Maldives

15. All but 9 of Maldives' 199 inhabited islands were flooded, and 53 islands suffered severe damage. A third of the population — approximately 100,000 people — was affected through the loss of homes, livelihoods, and infrastructure damage. Total needs for recovery are estimated at $393.3 million.

16. The economy was severely impacted by the disaster. Damages were equivalent to 63 per cent of GDP, and the tsunami led to a contraction of GDP by approximately 5 per cent in 2005. In addition to reconstruction costs, revenue decreases and high oil prices meant the Government faced a $93 million budget deficit in 2005. In addition, Maldives continues to face a funding gap of over $100 million for its recovery programme.

17. A total of 10,970 people are still displaced, with approximately two thirds of them on islands other than their own and the remainder on their home islands with friends or relatives. Housing remains a key priority. Of the 5,215 new permanent houses required, 141 have been built, with an additional 1,157 under construction. Increasing costs of transportation and building materials have slowed the process, and the sector still faces a funding shortfall of close to $12 million.

18. Redevelopment of critical infrastructure such as harbours and jetties, which are instrumental for access to island communities, is another priority. The transportation sector currently faces a funding shortfall of $48 million.

19. Additional support is also required for restoring and enhancing livelihoods of the most vulnerable. Rehabilitation of home gardens, fruit trees, boats, and fish processing is ongoing on 50 seriously damaged islands, but more comprehensive and cross-sectoral assistance is necessary.

20. The tourism sector, which accounted for more than 70 per cent of GDP, was hard hit. In 2005, tourist arrivals fell by 36 per cent compared to 2004. The industry has rebounded somewhat, and hotels were at 60 per cent occupancy in December 2005. With this recovery and the leasing of 35 new resorts, the budget deficit is expected to be significantly reduced by the end of 2006. The GDP growth rate for 2006 is expected to be around 12 per cent, reaching pre-tsunami levels.

Sri Lanka

21. Over two thirds of Sri Lanka's coast was devastated by the tsunami, which caused more than 35,000 fatalities. Reconstruction needs are estimated at $2.2 billion. The livelihoods of 500,000 people were affected, including an estimated 200,000 fishing households. Although there has been progress in recovery, the recurrence of violence in the north and east is increasingly becoming an obstacle to tsunami recovery programmes in those regions and is blocking access to some beneficiaries.

22. Through joint efforts by the Government and its partners, some 54,000 transitional shelters were built within the first year, meeting all needs in that regard. There is a continuing need for care and maintenance of these facilities and a shortage of funds for that purpose. Nearly 41,000 permanent homes have been constructed or repaired, mostly representing partially damaged houses repaired by owners who received grants for that purpose. Another 47,500 houses are under construction or repair. High prices of construction and rebuilding of infrastructure at the new housing sites present challenges to the goal of reconstructing and repairing 100,000 homes.

23. Sri Lanka's housing policy was modified in early 2006 to account for revisions to the Government's buffer zone policy, now known as the reservation zone. Under the new plan, 15,000 people who previously were to relocate from their land (because it was inside this zone) and thus qualified to have their homes rebuilt by donors in new inland locations will instead receive grants to rebuild on the land they had inhabited prior to the tsunami. Other changes include allowing individuals who move across district borders to qualify for housing assistance and allowing families to share entitlements in order to construct larger houses.

24. Progress has also been made in replacing lost livelihoods. An estimated 70-85 per cent of the affected population has regained a steady source of income. There is also evidence that people who did not work prior to the disaster are entering the labour market. Nonetheless, concerns remain in the fisheries sector, with an oversupply of boats in some districts, undersupply in others and a shortfall in certain types of boats and gear. Sustainable management of fisheries and other natural resources is a long-term challenge.

25. Following construction of temporary schools and health centres, permanent facilities are now being reconstructed. Of the 182 schools that were damaged, eight schools have been completed, with 69 under repair or construction. Of the 222 health institutions slated for repair or reconstruction, 24 have been completed and 64 are under construction.

Thailand

26. The tsunami severely damaged Thailand's Andaman coast, leaving 8,212 persons dead or missing, a third of whom were foreign tourists.

27. A total of 4,806 houses were destroyed; 3,893 individuals requested Government assistance in housing; and 3,349 houses have been built thus far. Challenges have included ensuring housing designs that meet the needs of beneficiaries, good land use practices in construction and adherence to building codes. In addition, land disputes between local communities, private developers and local governments have slowed the recovery process. Of the 407 villages affected by

the tsunami, 83 have confronted issues relating to security of land tenure. About half of these disputes are being handled by the Land Subcommittee to Solve Land Disputes, a high-level panel established by the Government to resolve land disputes and problems arising from or uncovered by the tsunami.

28. Damage to the fisheries and tourism sectors resulted in a 0.4 per cent slowdown in GDP growth in 2005. The livelihoods of an estimated 200,000 people were affected, including 30,000 fishing households and 20,000 households that depended on the tourism sector. The Government has provided fishing boats, tools, and hatcheries to nearly 19,000 people. While the rebound in tourism has been slower than expected, recent tourism receipts show a small gain relative to 2005.

29. Although there has been some overall progress, recovery of livelihoods remains an uphill battle. There is still considerable unemployment in the tourism sector, and many fishing villages — especially in the Muslim and Chao Lay communities — are struggling to make ends meet. Higher energy prices add to the burden, and greater efforts must be made to promote local community capacity-building and enhance local ownership of livelihood efforts.

Malaysia, Myanmar, Seychelles, Somalia, and the United Republic of Tanzania

30. The tsunami was extraordinary both in the intensity of its devastation and its wide geographical reach, with waves impacting land and people 4,000 miles from the earthquake's epicentre. Beyond the five worst affected countries, Malaysia, Myanmar, Seychelles, Somalia, and the United Republic of Tanzania also experienced varying degrees of destruction.

31. The tsunami was the worst disaster in Malaysia's history, claiming 69 lives and destroying or damaging the houses of 8,000 people, many of whom lived in poor fishing communities. In Myanmar, the official death toll was 61, with 200 villages and 10,000 to 12,000 people directly or indirectly affected along the southern coast. The tsunami damaged housing and infrastructure in Seychelles, where two people were killed and 950 families were displaced. In Somalia, the tsunami struck just as the drought was coming to an end and livelihoods were beginning to recover from flash floods, freezing rain and environmental degradation. A total of 289 people were killed and 44,000 people required emergency humanitarian assistance. In the United Republic of Tanzania, the tsunami claimed 12 lives.

32. Recovery has involved a range of projects. In Somalia, for example, projects included emergency mental health services and a psychosocial project that helped 25,000 people to cope with the effects of a series of disasters that have resulted in economic impoverishment, displacement, harsh living conditions and increased insecurity. In Malaysia, disaster reduction efforts have included a Government plan to dedicate a separate cell phone frequency for the dissemination of tsunami early warning text messages. In Myanmar, nearly 300 shelters were constructed, and small community infrastructure and training for women in making and repairing fishing nets have been provided.

III. Lessons learned in tsunami response

Coordination in the humanitarian and recovery phases

33. Tsunami recovery has posed serious coordination challenges, particularly regarding government capacity, the large amounts of funding available, and the number of actors. Government coordination has been most successful where responsibilities have been clearly defined and delineated. In Sri Lanka, for example, transitional shelter coordination was relatively successful because the Government entity managing that sector had a presence at the national, district, and divisional levels. Coordination in other sectors has been weaker because of overlapping responsibilities between line ministries and other authorities, which can slow decision-making, create obstacles to implementation and result in uncertainty about accountability. Other factors constraining Government ability to coordinate across the region includes limited capacity, particularly at local levels, and inadequate access to information, particularly during the relief phase.

34. Agencies have also faced challenges. To address coordination needs, sectoral coordination groups (such as for housing or education) were established in the field, but geographic coordination — between the different sectors and agencies at local levels — received less attention. Though humanitarian needs were met quickly, the relief response was not predicated on joint needs assessments by agencies, bilateral donors, and Governments, and therefore tended to be somewhat disjointed. In addition, pressure on agencies to spend large amounts of money quickly has not been conducive to coordination or investing in local partnerships. The abundance of different types of actors also makes coordination difficult and has resulted in gaps and duplication.

35. The large number of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) involved in recovery presented specific challenges. After the disaster, hundreds of NGOs arrived at disaster sites, placing great stress on local capacities. Because not all NGOs participated fully in the newly established coordination structures, some communities were over-assessed, while others went without services for a significant amount of time. A number of reports (including an April 2005 report by the International Council of Voluntary Agencies) have noted that international NGOs in Aceh did not sufficiently engage local NGOs and communities in conducting joint assessments and designing responses.

36. Coordination improved in the latter half of 2005, as Governments pressed for greater attention and resources for coordinating international actors. In addition, most short-term programming ended, and, in the cases of Indonesia and Maldives, greater governmental budgetary commitments came on line, helping to improve coordination. It also helped that staff turnover was reduced in favour of continuity. In addition, United Nations agencies have provided direct support to Government coordination structures, including supporting Indonesia, Sri Lanka, Maldives, and Thailand to develop Government-owned and managed Development Assistance Databases.

37. Integrated United Nations coordination offices have been established to assist the Resident/Humanitarian Coordinators in Indonesia, Maldives and Sri Lanka, with the aim of achieving a coherent and well-coordinated United Nations approach in support of Governments in post-disaster recovery. Humanitarian and recovery

coordination could be further improved with better participation of non-United Nations agencies and NGOs and the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement. In Indonesia, the Office of the United Nations Recovery Coordinator for Aceh and Nias was created to provide coordination support to the Government, and it is reaching out to include NGOs. The United Nations recovery coordination capacity has played a critical role in facilitating country team strategic planning, such as through the development of transition strategies in support of the Governments' national recovery plans in Maldives and Sri Lanka, and the preparation of such a strategy for Aceh and Nias is under way.

38. In his capacity as the Secretary-General's Special Envoy for Tsunami Recovery, former United States President Bill Clinton has promoted the integration of efforts at the global level. Based in New York, his office coordinates the broader United Nations system at Headquarters level, working with United Nations actors and other major stakeholders, including the World Bank, the Asian Development Bank, the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies and NGOs. This occurs through various mechanisms, including the bimonthly Inter-Agency Standing Committee — United Nations Development Group Tsunami Task Force meetings and regular consultations with United Nations Resident/Humanitarian Coordinators and senior departmental and agency officials regarding policy. In addition, throughout his tenure, several times each year, the Special Envoy has convened a Global Consortium on Tsunami Recovery, which brings together national Governments, United Nations agencies, the international finance institutions, NGO consortia, and donor Governments to identify common priorities and promote an integrated approach.

Recommendations

39. **Dedicated, field-based, recovery management structures**: Having devised special, post-tsunami recovery coordination structures that recognized a gap in existing capacity of Governments, the United Nations should develop a flexible model for support to recovery coordination that could be quickly deployed in a post-disaster setting. In developing this model, due consideration should be given to the need to address: relations between the United Nations system and other actors; coordination funding requirements; division of departmental and agency authorities in the recovery process; decision-making authority in the field; and effective integration of NGOs and the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement into United Nations-led post-disaster coordination structures.

40. **Adequate resources**: Adequate resources should be allocated to support coordination both in the recovery and the relief phases.

41. **NGO participation**: Support should be given to ongoing efforts by international NGOs to develop and utilize more effective NGO coordination structures in large-scale disaster response and to integrate more fully into United Nations-led coordination mechanisms.

Different models of government humanitarian and recovery institutions

42. The tsunami's impact varied in part because the affected countries did not share the same level of disaster preparedness. India and Thailand were able to

respond effectively during the relief phase because both countries had well-functioning national disaster risk management systems. The Thai authorities swiftly activated the 1979 Civil Defence Act, which freed up resources and staff and enabled a clear decision-making structure. In India, locations with existing community-based preparedness initiatives suffered fewer deaths than those that had not benefited from such measures.

43. In Indonesia, Maldives, and Sri Lanka, new emergency regulations were adopted to manage the response and create new structures. Sri Lanka created the Centre for National Operations and three task forces immediately following the disaster. The Task Force for Reconstruction of the Nation, which was concerned with long-term recovery, was replaced by the Reconstruction and Development Agency in January 2006. In Indonesia, the central and provincial teams coordinating the disaster were replaced in April 2005 by the ministerial-level Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Agency for Aceh and Nias, tasked with leading the recovery.

44. Strong leadership by national Governments is essential, as is clarity in roles, responsibilities, mandates and lines of authority among line ministries, specially created entities, and national and local authorities. Among many other functions, strong leadership can help break through bottlenecks in aid distribution, such as customs and financial disbursement procedures.

45. Efforts have been made to strengthen and support national capacity to manage recovery. The Multi-Donor Fund for Aceh and Nias, for example, which represents over $500 million in funding, supports the Indonesian Government to carry out reconstruction projects. The Fund's objectives are ensuring an efficient and coordinated delivery of financial support, providing effective and transparent management of funds, and serving as a vehicle for policy dialogue between the Government and donors.

46. While the existence of clear authority at the national level is essential, the willingness to decentralize authority to provincial, district and local levels is equally important. Experience with decentralization clearly made a difference in that regard. In India, a central-level entity was responsible for planning and interdepartmental coordination, but responsibility for implementing recovery programmes was vested at the state and district levels. In contrast, in Sri Lanka, district and sub-district administrations sometimes lacked the authority or capacity to take independent action and mobilize and allocate resources. In Indonesia, tensions have surfaced between the Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Agency for Aceh and Nias, provincial authorities and central line ministries. The Agency has recognized the need for decentralization and is currently implementing a policy for its staff to develop closer links at the district and sub-district levels. Empowering local authorities and engaging local communities more effectively are both critical.

Recommendations

47. **Continued reform of recovery institutions**: A number of the affected Governments have committed to sharpening the lines of authority of their central recovery institutions and/or transferring additional responsibilities to district and sub-district levels. These efforts, including the need to strengthen in-country disaster risk management capacity at all government levels, should be accelerated, and United Nations agencies should continue to provide assistance to encourage such reforms.

48. **Clarify rules and institutions**: In the context of reconstruction, roles, responsibilities, and lines of authority between national, provincial, district and local government entities should be clarified and clearly articulated to all stakeholders.

49. **Harmonize laws and policies to facilitate effective international response**: Affected States should re-examine their disaster laws and policies in light of the tsunami, considering how well the laws and policies handled international assistance and to what extent existing structures include domestic civil society. In the process of developing new laws and policies, Governments should seek to ease obstacles — such as cumbersome customs or procurement rules — to effective delivery. The International Disaster Response Law, Rules and Principles programme can help facilitate harmonization of such policies.

Assessing damages and needs

50. Accurate assessments are vital to successful disaster response. They are key to determining a figure for damage, losses and needs, providing the basis to mobilize financial support. They also identify priorities for immediate needs and long-term recovery and document the disaster's socio-economic and financial impact for comparison across countries and for advocacy of increased investment in ex ante risk reduction. Effective assessments can also lay the basis for coordination in the implementation phase.

51. Immediate post-tsunami needs were identified through a variety of sectoral and geographic assessments. There is general recognition that those multiple efforts could have been better coordinated, their results shared more widely and, in some instances, their deployment made timelier.

52. The United Nations Flash Appeal resulted from multiple country assessments and received a rapid and generous response from donors; most relief actors received substantial contributions within days of the disaster. The Appeal also included early recovery needs in the area of livelihood support, such as through cash-for-work programmes. In response to Government requests in India, Indonesia, Maldives, and Sri Lanka, long-term needs were captured in comprehensive damage and needs assessments undertaken by the World Bank, together with the Asian Development Bank, United Nations agencies, Government counterparts and other donors and organizations. Response to the financial needs identified through these assessments has also been very strong, although Maldives faces a shortfall of over $100 million.

53. Obtaining an accurate assessment of early recovery needs is key to managing the transition from relief to rehabilitation and reconstruction. But this remains a challenge, and the United Nations and its partners continue to explore ways to improve that aspect of the assessment process. The absence of a standard early recovery needs assessment methodology, for example, hampers planning. It will be addressed in the coming year by the International Recovery Platform, an initiative started in May 2005 to support the development of standardized methodologies and more effective coordination in post-disaster recovery.

54. Time pressures present a major challenge in conducting accurate assessments. There may be poor baseline data to begin with or data may have been destroyed. Nevertheless, Governments and aid agencies must respond quickly with figures of

damages and needs in order to capitalize on fleeting global attention. For example, in Indonesia following the tsunami, the Government wanted to take advantage of a donor meeting that had already been scheduled for early January to raise funds for recovery. This required initiating an assessment immediately after the tsunami, when critical relief operations were under way.

55. The tsunami has also shown that joint assessments can contribute to more effective aid coordination as agencies discuss plans for recovery support. Given the number of agencies that typically descend on a country, joint assessments can make for unwieldy teams. Nevertheless, conducting such assessments jointly is important to avoid overwhelming Government capacity to respond to multiple requests for information as well as to avoid scenarios where some communities are over-assessed and others neglected.

56. It is clear that needs change over time as more detailed information becomes available once recovery begins. This indicates that assessments should be an ongoing process. But damage and needs assessments tend to be one-time exercises that are not necessarily updated. This may result in limited flexibility and iterative planning during recovery. To some extent, inter-agency one-year reports for the affected countries provided an opportunity to reassess needs against progress and identify gaps and priorities for the second year of recovery. Indonesia's one-year report, for example, updated damage and loss figures, adjusted for price increases, added an assessment for Nias and incorporated the needs of Aceh's conflict-affected areas. Delays in the recovery planning process also created new needs, such as for temporary shelter in Aceh in the absence of rapid movement on permanent housing construction.

57. Increased attention on monitoring needs should be coupled with a sustained effort for more meaningful consultation with affected communities. For example, in Aceh, there was a major effort to replace lost fishing boats. But the one-year report found that many boats were unsuitable in size, design, and durability. On the other hand, effective consultation with communities led to the quick replacement of hand tractors in Aceh to improve soil preparation and reclaim some of the lands affected by salt water. A common beneficiary database and rigorous beneficiary consultation would greatly assist in better matching community needs with assistance. Better baseline data and ongoing data collection efforts are also critical to effective and ongoing needs assessments. Common databases with socio-economic data, such as DevInfo, would address some of these gaps by facilitating data sharing among stakeholders. In most of the affected countries, there are serious gaps in these areas.

Recommendations

58. **Recovery needs assessment methodology**: A consistent methodology for needs assessments for the early recovery phase should be developed. It should include mechanisms that enable greater community participation in both assessment and planning.

59. **Access to critical information**: Aid agencies should increase support for national capacity to develop baseline data and guarantee ongoing data collection and analysis efforts during recovery so as to enable more effective assessment of relief and recovery requirements. The United Nations should systematically support Governments on these issues as part of their recovery operations.

60. **Joint needs assessments**. Relief and recovery assessments should be conducted by multi-agency teams to the extent practicable and should rely on effective protocols and mechanisms for timely information sharing to avoid gaps and duplication. These assessments should be periodically updated to ensure a better understanding of shifting needs and allow for adjustments in programming. A common database within existing government structures can greatly facilitate coherence.

Transparency and accountability to donors, including financial tracking and reporting, monitoring and evaluation, and measuring progress

61. Several tools were developed to ensure financial transparency and accountability. The expenditure tracking system monitors financial assistance provided under the Flash Appeal and tracks spending by agency, country, sector, and project. In Indonesia, Maldives, Sri Lanka, and Thailand, Development Assistance Databases track international assistance for long-term recovery. The databases, which are available online, enable Governments and their partners to monitor project results and track resources from all sources, setting a new benchmark for accountability and transparency and also serving as a development planning tool.

62. The success of these systems depends greatly on voluntary and regular updating by all agencies and donors of their data. Technical problems with the databases have, at times, complicated data entry, and efforts are being made to ensure that they evolve in response to user needs.

63. These initiatives complement efforts to promote accountability at the national level. In Indonesia, two independent boards, which report directly to the President, were established to oversee the Rehabilitation and Reconstruction Agency's governance, operations and disbursement of funds. An anti-corruption unit was established within the Agency to prevent and investigate corruption and build local capacity for detecting, investigating and preventing corruption. In Maldives, the National Disaster Management Centre is overseen by a Board of Trustees and chaired by the Auditor General. Periodic audits are carried out to ensure transparency and effective utilization of funds. Procurement and disbursement mechanisms that build on standardized bidding, purchasing and payment procedures are another important aspect of accountability. In Sri Lanka, the National Procurement Agency is an independent regulatory body that oversees procurement.

64. The NGO community has also focused on accountability to its donors, and many NGOs and their consortia have produced periodic accountability reports for public distribution. While these reports differ in level of detail, most include the amount of money raised and spent, the purpose of the expenditures and long-term plans for remaining funds.

65. Financial transparency alone, though, is not sufficient to guarantee accountability; audits, evaluations, and monitoring are also critical. The Tsunami Evaluation Coalition, which represents a broad coalition of United Nations agencies, donors, NGOs and the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, has conducted sector-wide evaluations in five thematic areas. Its initial

findings were released in December 2005, and the final reports will be available in mid-2006.

66. The Tsunami Recovery Impact Assessment and Monitoring System also aims to enhance accountability. Involving India, Indonesia, Maldives, Sri Lanka, and Thailand over the period 2006-2010, the Monitoring System will monitor progress and assess impact of long-term recovery. The process was launched in May 2006, with participation from the Governments of the five most affected countries, United Nations agencies, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, NGOs and donors. The Governments agreed on a core set of common impact indicators to be measured across the countries as well as country-specific ones. The Governments also agreed to aim to produce a first synthesis report before the end of 2006. It will be important to ensure that such efforts are adequately resourced and that Governments establish effective country plans of action to ensure implementation.

67. To strengthen internal financial monitoring and control systems, United Nations agencies have entered into partnerships with the private sector. PricewaterhouseCoopers donated 8,000 hours to enhance the accountability mechanisms of the United Nations. Similarly, Deloitte Consulting provided the United Nations Development Programme with 21,000 pro bono hours to strengthen reporting systems and procurement processes.

68. Individual United Nations agencies and NGOs have their own monitoring, evaluation and audit mechanisms. Most NGOs and United Nations agencies issued one-year public reports on tsunami funding and expenditures as well as independent evaluations of their tsunami responses, which are publicly available on their websites. Among United Nations agencies, the scope and intensity of internal and external audits of their tsunami operations is unprecedented. These measures need to be widely disseminated and shared with beneficiaries.

Recommendations

69. **Support for the Development Assistance Databases**: All local and international organizations, including NGOs, involved in tsunami recovery should provide comprehensive information to the Development Assistance Databases systems and regularly update this information. Donors should sustain support for the further development of the databases.

70. **Support for the Tsunami Recovery Impact Assessment and Monitoring System**: The Governments of Indonesia, Sri Lanka, India, Maldives, and Thailand have taken an important step in endorsing the impact assessment process in May 2006. This should be followed by the immediate preparation of national action plans to ensure effective implementation. Donor Governments should provide financial support for this important initiative.

71. **Making information on accountability available to civil society**: In coordination with host Governments, the United Nations should substantially increase efforts to disseminate information to beneficiaries relating to accountability measures and the status of recovery programmes.

Enhancing sustainability: involving local communities in recovery

72. Beneficiary participation and ownership of recovery is both a critical dimension of accountability and a prerequisite for effectiveness and sustainability. Recovery programmes must put affected communities in the driver's seat in programme design and implementation. Community participation can take many different forms. Communities can provide inputs at the planning stage, direct project design and take responsibility for implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

73. Involving communities can have a number of potential benefits, including helping to ensure sustainable and appropriate outputs, building capacity at the local level, improving governance, increasing social capital, promoting environmentally sound solutions, fostering inclusion of marginalized groups and promoting effective project implementation.

74. Effective participation, however, takes time and effort. This inevitably leads to trade-offs between promoting quick recovery and ensuring that communities are in the lead and that programmes are geared towards building capacity.

75. Understandably, with the large number of agencies practising community-driven development, approaches have varied greatly. This has led to inconsistencies and duplication, as well as frustration in some communities about the time required for meetings with numerous agencies and NGOs. Governments and implementing partners should better coordinate such efforts. A coherent and well-targeted information dissemination strategy throughout emergency and recovery operations would also help.

76. Communities have been involved in tsunami recovery in a variety of ways. In Sri Lanka, for example, planned Village Rehabilitation Advisory Committees will include beneficiaries in implementing and monitoring recovery. Another initiative in Sri Lanka supported focus group discussions in over 1,000 villages to determine resettlement and livelihood recovery needs and to exchange information between beneficiaries and agencies. In Indonesia, villages are given grants to meet the infrastructure, basic services, or livelihood needs they have prioritized, and temporary schools have been constructed in consultation with local communities. In India, community representatives are involved in the construction, monitoring, and maintenance of water supply and sanitation facilities.

Recommendation

77. **Community-driven development**: Although there have been admirable initiatives to encourage community consultation and dissemination of information to beneficiaries, programmes have been uneven, uncoordinated, and have not been accorded sufficiently high priority. Ongoing efforts at decentralization of recovery and implementation of disaster risk mitigation legislation provide opportunities for renewed emphasis on engagement with local communities and for information-sharing. Government officials and United Nations country teams should develop plans for enhancing these efforts.

Economic diversification and livelihood generation

78. Programmes to restore livelihoods and diversify economies are fundamental components of recovery. For the most part, the tsunami's greatest impact was on rural coastal communities that depended on the fishing industry and other natural resources. Restoring livelihoods must include rebuilding the physical infrastructure as well as training and skills development to diminish future economic vulnerability. In addition, programmes must address inequality across gender and ethnic lines.

79. Overall, there has been reasonably good progress in livelihood generation. In Sri Lanka, 70-85 per cent of affected households regained their main source of income within a year. Nonetheless, many programmes have been hampered by weak coordination and limited integration of the efforts in different agencies. In addition, more work is needed to ensure that communities are sufficiently involved in driving livelihood recovery, which would help guarantee sustainability over the long term. Livelihood programmes must also be initiated more quickly than has been common practice, as households begin rebuilding their livelihoods within days, not months, of a disaster.

80. In Indonesia, attempts are being made through small grants and subsidized loans to integrate finance with training programmes for small business recovery and local economic development. To support the recovery and beyond, self-sustaining microfinance institutions that can provide opportunities for investments in self-employment and job creation, while offering a means for low-income individuals and groups to diversify sources of income and manage economic risks, should be established.

81. Long-term economic planning varies between countries. India is utilizing a phased approach that includes short-term, one-year interventions to restore pre-existing levels of income; medium-term, one-to-three-year programmes to promote economic diversification, especially for youth and women; and long-term interventions, directed at income expansion and equitable distribution of income, which requires policy and institutional reforms. In Maldives, an investment project that will begin in 2007 targets greater economic diversification to improve economic stability, achieve a more equitable distribution of income and attain sustainable growth. Sri Lanka has adopted three partly overlapping phases: initial cash grants, followed by cash-for-work programmes, gradually replaced by microfinance and other programmes.

82. There is a growing recognition of the need for convergence among different activities being undertaken by NGOs, community-based organizations, the private sector and others. In India, for example, community resource centres are being developed to help monitor interventions and to serve as a rallying point for economic development. These centres would be a one-stop shop for all major components of livelihood restoration, and could potentially serve as a model to help promote better coordination, pool and optimize resources, and ensure long-term sustainability.

Recommendations

83. **Early emphasis on livelihoods**: Protection and restoration of livelihoods should be addressed as quickly as possible after a disaster, and early livelihood restoration programmes should be included in Flash Appeals as a matter of practice.

84. **Enhanced coordination**. In the absence of effective information-sharing and coordination and with few comprehensive inventories of participants in livelihood recovery programmes, gaps and duplication of efforts exist. Although coordination can best take place at the local level, the United Nations should encourage the development of a clearing house function for information on post-disaster livelihood programmes.

85. **Economic diversification and long-term economic planning**. Affected Governments, international finance institutions and United Nations agencies must improve cooperation to ensure that livelihood programmes are better incorporated into national level, long-term planning efforts, especially those that promote diversified economies. There has often been only limited integration of grass-roots livelihood programmes and broader economic strategies. Moreover, the possibilities afforded by innovative financial services should be explored more closely in view of the advantages they offer for local economic development. Such an approach should balance asset replacement efforts.

Risk reduction, tsunami early warning, and incorporation of prevention in development planning

86. Taking steps to reduce future disaster risks and building safer structures are important components of building back better. Good progress has been made in developing early warning systems, but it is less clear whether safer houses and infrastructure are being built, livelihoods are becoming more resilient, better land use and environmental management practices are being utilized, and more effective disaster management systems are being created.

87. With the support of international agencies, Governments have made concerted efforts to establish tsunami warning and response systems. They have done so through assessments of national and local capacity for disaster preparedness and emergency response, assessments of technological needs and establishing national mechanisms for tsunami warning and mitigation.

88. A mechanism has been established under the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission to develop the regional tsunami early warning system. An interim advisory information network was rapidly implemented, using existing meteorological systems; this network consists of country focal points that receive warnings when natural hazards occur. Observation and warning systems are being upgraded, and an initial warning system should be completed by July 2006. Detailed needs assessments of national warning and response plans undertaken for 16 countries revealed many gaps, with considerable variation in progress between countries. The assessments are being used to target assistance in developing national plans.

89. Of the 29 countries participating in the Indian Ocean warning system, 20 lack national plans for a tsunami early warning and response system. To accelerate progress, in March 2006, a consortium of international partners offered advisory support to Governments that have fallen behind in developing national capacity.

90. In addition, efforts are being made to increase public awareness and provide community-based support to disaster risk management, through workshops, training exercises, mass media information products, and school curricula. There is

increasing recognition that a tsunami early warning system must be linked to other hazard warning systems and strongly embedded in a general disaster risk reduction approach. Moreover, it is critical that such systems can transmit comprehensible warnings to the most vulnerable communities.

91. The linkages between disaster management institutions, technical early warning organizations, and development planners should be strengthened to systematically reduce the disaster risks faced by countries, in line with the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015.[1]

Recommendations

92. **Risk reduction and humanitarian and development planning**: Disaster risk reduction must be incorporated into relief and development planning, including needs assessments. Most agencies and NGOs accept that proposition, but it has not been realized in practice. All humanitarian and development organizations should urgently review their operating procedures to ensure adequate emphasis on disaster reduction principles as reflected in the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015.[1]

93. **Tsunami warning, the broader disaster reduction agenda, and building national capacity**: Tsunami warning systems should be linked to other hazard warning systems and strengthened as part of an integrated disaster risk reduction approach. National plans for warning systems should address the means to transmit warnings and should include disaster management, risk mitigation, public awareness and community-based activities. Several Governments have recently adopted or are considering legislation relating to disaster risk management. These processes should be accelerated, and Governments should take advantage of the agency consortium offer of assistance to ensure effective national plans of action.

94. **Role of the Intergovernmental Coordination Group for the Indian Ocean Tsunami Warning and Mitigation System**: The Intergovernmental Coordination Group should be utilized as the primary mechanism for regional coordination and should be encouraged to factor in broader disaster management and development perspectives wherever possible.

Human rights: equity, gender, vulnerable communities, incorporation of human rights principles into humanitarian programmes

95. Although disasters may have significant implications for the rights of affected communities, in the rush to provide disaster relief, a rights-based approach may be overlooked. A disaster can exacerbate pre-existing vulnerabilities. Internally displaced persons are usually housed in temporary camps or barracks, which can lead to conditions that violate human rights. Inequities in aid distribution can also raise human rights concerns.

96. Experience in a range of recovery operations has revealed a number of rights-based concerns. These include inequitable access to assistance, discrimination in aid provision, involuntary relocation to — or exclusion from — settlements and camps, sexual and gender-based violence, lack of access to education, the loss of documentation, lack of property restitution and weak participation of beneficiaries in decision-making.

97. Post-tsunami efforts appeared to replicate some of these patterns. For example, pre-existing patterns of marginalization and discrimination have affected aid distribution. Aid equity has been an issue, as tsunami-affected populations appear to have benefited disproportionately from generous assistance programmes in comparison with less directly affected communities who nevertheless remain poor and marginalized for other reasons.

98. International agencies can work to ensure that recovery is conducted within a framework that protects and promotes human rights. At present, a set of operational guidelines on human rights protection in situations of natural disasters — with particular reference to internally displaced persons — is being finalized. These guidelines are based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other conventions, complementing existing standards such as the Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement,[2] the Sphere Project Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response, the Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief, and the Inter-Agency Standing Committee's policy paper on protection of internally displaced persons.

99. Governments, however, shoulder the primary responsibility for delivering assistance and protection, and affected populations have the right to seek and obtain assistance and protection from their Governments. Non-state actors — both international and local — must recognize this fact and cooperate fully with national authorities in providing assistance.

100. A human rights adviser attached to the United Nations Country Team in Sri Lanka has assisted in developing successful human rights-based initiatives. The human rights adviser worked closely with Sri Lanka's Human Rights Commission to develop and support the work of a disaster relief monitoring unit, which has handled more than 19,000 complaints from beneficiaries, undertaken field visits and engaged in advocacy with local and national level officials. The national Human Rights Commission also cooperated with the United Nations to facilitate consultations in 1,100 affected communities, which resulted in an important report on the needs and grievances of the people.

101. An additional concern relates to ensuring that women are benefiting equitably from recovery. Prevailing methods of livelihood support may have limited agencies' ability to provide equitable programming. Programme priorities are, at times, influenced more by the relative logistical ease of delivery rather than by an assessment of relative need. The livelihood requirements for men have largely related to boats, nets, seeds, and tools, which are replaced through relatively straightforward asset replacement projects. Women's livelihoods, on the other hand, often require links to international markets or involve home industry, which may require space in houses. Delays in providing shelter also may have disproportionately affected women's livelihoods opportunities.

102. The tendency to rely on standard packages of assistance has also meant that special needs for vulnerable groups, including women, the elderly and the disabled, were not always met in time, particularly in the relief phase. During the recovery phase, agencies established thematic working groups, including for gender, which have helped to address the need for different kinds of support. While such efforts are welcome, United Nations officials and local authorities would be in a better position

to address these issues if more statistical information on beneficiaries, disaggregated by age, gender and other salient information, were available as early as possible.

Recommendations

103. **The operational guidelines**: The new operational guidelines on human rights protection in situations of natural disasters should be widely disseminated and considered by all actors in the tsunami-recovery effort. Governments, international organizations and NGOs should develop plans for incorporating the guidelines into their disaster recovery operations.

104. **Encouraging equity in assistance**: Governments, donors, and implementing agencies should carefully consider the implications of assistance programmes on existing vulnerabilities and patterns of discrimination and on equity among different segments of the population. Programmes of assistance should demonstrate how they are promoting equity within and across communities.

105. **Sustaining special efforts to address issues of gender**: United Nations agencies, Governments in the affected region, and Member States should support continued efforts to address gender considerations in the recovery process, including through increased collection of statistical information on the tsunami-affected population disaggregated by age, gender, and other pertinent information.

Environmental factors in risk reduction and recovery

106. The tsunami had tremendous environmental impacts, some directly attributable to the disaster and others that have resulted from recovery. Lack of attention to the link between environmental management and disaster risk reduction has resulted in lost opportunities to build back better.

107. The tsunami generated unprecedented amounts of debris, which constitute a short-term environmental risk. Poorly planned removal of debris has increased clean-up costs and led to lost opportunities to recycle. Still, there are some positive examples of environmentally friendly efforts. In Maldives, waste management programmes have included clean-up of hazardous waste (asbestos, oils and health-care waste) and tsunami debris and construction of new waste management centres. The Governments of Maldives and Indonesia have also established waste management forums to coordinate donor, agency and national responses.

108. Decisions made under pressure can have dramatic environmental costs, many of which could be avoided through preparedness and greater commitment to planning. In some cases, recovery programmes did not pay attention to long-term environmental concerns. For example, demands for timber for reconstruction are burdening already threatened forest resources. In addition, inefficient kilns used for brick production consume huge volumes of firewood. The sources of timber and fuel wood are a continuing concern, but few clear policies on sustainable timber have emerged. Demand for rock, sand and gravel have also placed great pressures on natural resources.

109. At a meeting in Cairo in February 2005, representatives of all tsunami-affected Governments and supporting international institutions adopted the Guiding Principles for Post-Tsunami Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (Cairo Principles), which provide guidelines for coastal reconstruction (such as the creation of no-build

zones close to the coast, the adoption of building codes, and the preservation of wetlands, mangroves, sea-grass beds and coral reefs as a means of protection). Several Governments are seeking to implement the Cairo Principles. In Sri Lanka, for example, the Coast Conservation Department aims to ensure that the principles are respected in the reconstruction process. Similar developments have begun in Thailand and the Seychelles. Such efforts hold promise for a more strategic approach to addressing environmental concerns.

Recommendations

110. **Effective deployment of environmental expertise**: United Nations agencies and affected Governments should ensure effective deployment of environmental expertise in all stages of disaster response, rather than after key decisions have already been made.

111. **Integrating environmental issues into recovery, planning, and implementation**: The use of sustainable building materials and construction practices and the promotion of alternative energies will be more fully integrated in recovery plans when they are included in the needs assessment processes. Any review of needs assessment methodologies should promote the regular inclusion of these considerations.

112. **Strengthening waste management programmes**: United Nations agencies and NGOs should strengthen programmes to support Governments and communities to undertake proper sorting, storage, disposal and recycling of tsunami waste as well as to develop integrated strategies for long-term waste management.

113. **Environmental governance**: United Nations agencies should promote strategic environmental frameworks that provide clear guidance as to the environmental responsibilities of key stakeholders and shift policies from a focus on prevention of environmental damage to a proactive focus on building back better.

Notes

[1] See A/CONF.206/6 and Corr.1, chap. I, resolution 2.

[2] See E/CN.4/1998/53/Add.2, annex.